## INTERVIEW OF JAMIE GRUENING

BY ABBY JOHNSON

EUREKA COUNTY
LESSONS LEARNED VIDEO PROJECT

held in

CRESCENT VALLEY, NEVADA

April 21, 2011

- 1 MS. CLANCY: We are in Crescent Valley. Doing the
- 2 interview is Abby Johnson.
- 3 MS. JOHNSON: I'm Abby Johnson. I'm the Nuclear
- 4 Waste Advisor for Eureka County. We're doing our Eureka
- 5 County Lessons Learned Video Project, and we're interviewing
- 6 Jamie Gruening.
- Jamie, when did you come to Crescent Valley for the
- 8 first time?
- 9 MS. GRUENING: Well, I moved here in the mid
- 10 1990's, and I was seeking a place that was peaceful and quiet
- 11 and uncluttered and unhassled and beautiful, and I found that
- 12 here.
- MS. JOHNSON: And, then, when did you first hear
- 14 about the Yucca Mountain project and the Carlin Rail Corridor
- 15 in Crescent Valley?
- MS. GRUENING: I don't really remember an exact
- 17 time frame. And, I also don't remember exactly how it came
- 18 to my attention. Word of mouth, I'm sure. It seems like
- 19 there were some things in the local television news, perhaps
- 20 radio news, I don't know. But, I think mostly word of mouth,
- 21 and then maybe small notices in the post office about
- 22 meetings or possible sources of information, that sort of
- 23 thing.
- MS. JOHNSON: When you started to learn more about
- 25 the Carlin Rail Corridor, what did you find out about how it

- 1 might affect you?
- 2 MS. GRUENING: Well, I live seven to eight miles
- 3 southeast of the township itself, and in looking into the
- 4 issue, I was immediately alarmed, rocking the boat of my
- 5 existence that I was happily establishing. But, to learn a
- 6 little about it and the possible rail routes, I started doing
- 7 a little, you know, opening my eyes and ears, listening to
- 8 people, listening to news, reading some materials. Then, in
- 9 exploring the Draft EIS report and looking at detailed maps
- 10 of the rail corridors, I learned that, or discovered that the
- 11 primary and secondary choice rail corridors for shipment of
- 12 nuclear waste, one of them up here to be by, you know, the
- 13 exact Draft EIS maps, three-tenths of a mile from my home,
- 14 and the other possibly as much as seven-tenths of a mile from
- 15 my home. That is too close for my comfort.
- 16 MS. JOHNSON: And, so, what did you do?
- MS. GRUENING: Well, I listened, I talked, I read
- 18 some, I, through different processes, wrote some letters,
- 19 spoke at a hearing. I don't know what else I did do, except
- 20 very concerned and upset.
- 21 MS. JOHNSON: Let's move on to the next question.
- MS. GRUENING: --not a "public speaker," I mean,
- 23 I'm shy to even yak with people very much. I mean, I'm
- 24 friendly enough, but--okay.
- MS. JOHNSON: So, when you--the day of the EIS

- 1 hearing, they were taking testimony from people in Crescent
- 2 Valley about what their concerns were. What did you tell
- 3 them?
- 4 MS. GRUENING: Well, I had read probably not every
- 5 page of the Draft EIS, because it, as I recall, was quite a
- 6 volume, but a lot of it, and I was very concerned about
- 7 health and safety issues, the real nuts and bolts of the
- 8 process, just the construction of the rail line, what about
- 9 access, road access to my home, because one way or the other,
- 10 whichever route, the primary or the secondary, would likely
- 11 have me cut off by a rail line. Would there be an overpass,
- 12 an underpass, a guarded crossing, no access at all? So, I
- 13 was concerned about that, of course.
- But, also about the degradation of my quality of
- 15 life literally on my property, the noise, the possible
- 16 exposure, both during the construction of rail lines and once
- 17 the project finished, and in use. I also of course was
- 18 concerned, like many people, the nuts and bolts of property
- 19 values, possible compensation, reimbursements. Emergency
- 20 response for any accidents or illnesses or disruptions. I
- 21 don't know what else in there, but I was very upset, and like
- 22 I say, I did some reading, and to find the proximity nearer
- 23 than many residents of the area, because I live out of town,
- 24 and the rail lines were to be skirting town, well, not
- 25 skirting my place, not by very much.

- 1 So I was very concerned, and I came to the meeting
- 2 quite nervous. I'm not easily conversational, I'm certainly
- 3 not a public speaker, but I made a number of points and had a
- 4 lot of questions that I asked in my public statement. And, I
- 5 had a map showing exactly where my specific location is in
- 6 relation to the primary and secondary rail corridors.
- 7 MS. JOHNSON: Let's move on to the next question.
- 8 Eureka County has maintained a neutral position all
- 9 along, that is, they haven't taken an official position in
- 10 favor of Yucca Mountain or opposed to Yucca Mountain. Do you
- 11 agree with the County's approach?
- MS. GRUENING: No, I don't, certainly on a personal
- 13 level for, like I said earlier, the rocking of the boat of my
- 14 home and lifestyle, but also on a little bigger picture, the
- 15 unavoidable impact if the project were to go forward and
- 16 progress, the unavoidable impact on the environment, both
- 17 human and natural. So, when no stance was taken by the
- 18 County, I was disappointed and, frankly, disgusted and I
- 19 remain so.
- 20 MS. JOHNSON: If the Carlin route comes back, that
- 21 is if the Yucca Mountain Project is revived and then you have
- 22 to have transportation to the repository, what would your
- 23 reaction be?
- MS. GRUENING: Well, I would be no less concerned,
- 25 no less disgusted, no less angry, for, as I said, the level

- 1 of my personal comfort and views, but also the bigger picture
- 2 of the environment, the safety issues, and all of that.
- I would again become involved. I remain shy and
- 4 retiring, but I would become involved again in speaking and
- 5 letter writing and participating and learning what more
- 6 needed to be learned to assess accurately and speak as
- 7 intelligently as possible and reasonably.
- 8 MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. Is there anything else
- 9 you'd like to add?
- 10 MS. GRUENING: Well, yes. When I was contacted in
- 11 regards to an interview of this nature, I wrote a brief
- 12 statement, and I would like to read that. It's, like I say,
- 13 brief.
- Some years ago, I made public statements regarding
- 15 the transportation of nuclear waste via rail through Crescent
- 16 Valley en route to Yucca Mountain. The Draft EIS maps
- 17 detailing the primary and secondary rail corridors placed the
- 18 dedicated nuclear waste rail line between three-tenths and
- 19 possibly as much as seven-tenths of a mile from my home,
- 20 quite literally in my backyard.
- 21 Anyone can, I'm sure, grasp the gravity of my
- 22 concern at that time. To be certain, I was relieved when the
- 23 plan was suspended, or whatever the term would be. Should
- 24 that plan be revisited in the future, my concern and anxiety
- 25 would be no less. In fact, in the larger picture, the

- 1 generation of nuclear power, the storage of nuclear waste, or
- 2 the transportation of nuclear waste to other storage sites,
- 3 such as Yucca Mountain, frankly, anywhere near anyone's
- 4 literal or figurative backyard remains immensely disturbing
- 5 to me.
- 6 The huge risks and possible effects on the
- 7 environment, both human and natural, have most certainly not
- 8 decreased over these years. This remains clear and obvious,
- 9 witness the awful and certainly unresolved situation in Japan
- 10 at this very time.
- 11 Thank you.
- MS. JOHNSON: Jamie, can you show us where the
- 13 proposed rail lines would have gone in relation to where you
- 14 live?
- MS. GRUENING: Well, yes. I didn't come today with
- 16 a copy of maps that I maybe had at the EIS hearings, but I do
- 17 happen to have a map that I help people find me, a friend or
- 18 a delivery person, or whatever, because I live outside of
- 19 town by a number of miles, off a dirt road, and another dirt
- 20 road, and another dirt road, as I said earlier, seeking the
- 21 peace and quiet and beauty of this Valley, and I found it
- 22 where I do live.
- So, this is a map and it's not exactly to scale.
- 24 But, like I say, this is what I send or fax to someone to
- 25 find me. This is Interstate 80, and here's the state highway

- 1 that comes south from Interstate 80 through Beowawe and
- 2 Crescent Valley and continues on south. And, there are a
- 3 couple of ways to get to my place, but they all entail dirt
- 4 roads. I live down here, very end, and the two proposed rail
- 5 lines, one goes that way, and the other this way, like I say,
- 6 very near to my home, somewhere between three-tenths of a
- 7 mile on one of the proposed routes, and seven-tenths of a
- 8 mile on the other proposed route. So, my peace and quiet
- 9 would certainly be disturbed in literal ways and in
- 10 figurative ways. You know, I came for the tranquility and
- 11 beauty of the spot I live in.
- MS. JOHNSON: And, we love this, I gather.
- MS. GRUENING: Well, Yes. That's right.
- MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
- Jamie, what would bother you the most about having
- 16 the rail line build through Crescent Valley near your place?
- MS. GRUENING: Two things on a very personal level.
- 18 Like I say, kind of the nuts and bolts of things, the noise
- 19 and the risk of continued exposure. I don't remember the
- 20 exact numbers, I have them in my earlier statement from years
- 21 ago, but the number of shipments over the number of years
- 22 would have me exposed for the rest of my life.
- 23 And, I recall reading a lot of material and
- 24 listening to people saying oh, the exposure is just very
- 25 minimal. But, it's got to be cumulative, and being that

- 1 close to my home, I am now retired, I spend most of my time
- 2 at home, so I would be greatly concerned about my own
- 3 personal health, my animals, and anyone visiting me, but also
- 4 the huge noise disturbance. The construction, I just can't
- 5 even imagine putting up with that, or having to live with
- 6 that.
- 7 And, the use of the rail line, I understand it
- 8 wouldn't be constant like a public rail line, it would be
- 9 dedicated, but it would be disturbing every time it's used.
- 10 I'll read it. When you sent this to me and I
- 11 realized I wrote that? I said that? And, then, the other
- 12 part you--
- MS. JOHNSON: I mean, you really have--
- MS. GRUENING: I have a transcript of the statement
- 15 I made in 1999 to the hearing, and there's one little bit I
- 16 would like to read, and it's in regards to the fear of
- 17 exposure. Oh, come on, it can't be much. This is what I
- 18 wrote in 1999 and spoke to the hearing.
- 19 "And, one further comment. Earlier today, I
- 20 believe it was Mr. Morton who described low-level radiation
- 21 and the exposure of low-level radiation as an energy rather
- 22 than particles or mist or moisture or spillage, simply
- 23 energy. This line of thinking suggests or seemed to suggest
- 24 that, therefore, the consequences can only be minor because
- 25 it is simply a matter of energy. I wonder if the energy of

- 1 low-level radiation exposure can have any significant impact?
- 2 We speak in words. Our spoken words are energy.
- 3 They don't have particles. They don't have mist, moisture.
- 4 They don't spill over. But, our spoken words are only
- 5 energy. Do they have significant impact?
- 6 Thank you."
- 7 Having read that brief statement from years ago,
- 8 the public statement that I had made, I do think also of some
- 9 historical lessons, for instance, the down-winders who have
- 10 been so tragically affected, many tragically affected by
- 11 previous low-level exposure to radiation. And, there are
- 12 other lessons through history that I can't cite, recent and
- 13 not so recent, but it all builds to a picture of exposure to
- 14 low-level radiation. It's not healthy for the human
- 15 environment, the human person, or the natural environment,
- 16 our plants, our animals, our water sources, our air, et
- 17 cetera.
- MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
- 19 (End of interview with Jamie Gruening.)

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

| 1  | TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | I hereby certify that the foregoing has been                  |
| 4  | transcribed by me to the best of my ability and constitutes a |
| 5  | true and accurate transcript of the mechanically recorded     |
| 6  | proceedings in this matter.                                   |
| 7  | Dated at Aurora, Colorado, this 1 <sup>st</sup> day of June,  |
| 8  | 2011.   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 | s/ Mary Chevalier   |
| 16 | Mary Chevalier  |
| 17 | Federal Reporting Service, Inc.                               |
| 18 | 17454 East Asbury Place                                       |
| 19 | Aurora, Colorado 80013  |
| 20 | (303) 751-2777  |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |